

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Dyson
Given Name	Steven
Person ID	1287357
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Dyson
Given Name	Steven
Person ID	1287357
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I believe your Housing Figures to be out of date and inaccurate. You are building on Green Belt with no justifiable reason to do so. Your industrial developments are not required and flawed.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Review your housing figures and confirm Government target. Don't build on Green Belt Knock down Industrial parks that are not fit for purpose and reuse appropriately.
Family Name	Dyson
Given Name	Steven
Person ID	1287357
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	1. Meet our housing need 5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Dyson
Given Name	Steven
Person ID	1287357
Title	JPA 2: Stakehill
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	No business need to extend/rebuild Stakehill Industrial Park its half empty and not fit for purpose. Rather than build yet another Industrial Site why not knock it down and build housing? You can then enhance the local area not build on Green Belt and everyone"s happy. Well maybe not the Builders! Supporting documentation not provided by independent consultants which does highlight a conflict of interest. No justifiable reason to build on Green Belt
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect	As above

**of any legal compliance
or soundness matters
you have identified
above.**